UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,504	04/21/2004	David Epstein	23239-558A (ARC-58A)	7640	
	30623 7590 02/25/2011 MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C			EXAMINER	
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			SCHNIZER, RICHARD A		
BOSTON, MA	02111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1635		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/829,504	EPSTEIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard Schnizer	1635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2011.						
,	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 11 and 14-16 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 14-16 is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. 1					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/22/11 has been entered.

Claims 11 and 14-16 remain pending and are under consideration.

Priority

Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) as follows: The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

As discussed previously in the Action of 11/5/2009), this application claims priority to US applications 10/718,833, 10/826,077 and provisional applications

Art Unit: 1635

60428102, 60469628, 60464239, 60465053, 60474133, 60486580, 60489810, 60503596, and 60523935. See the amendment filed 6/9/2005. However, none of these applications provides adequate support in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for the instant claims, because none of the applications describes the genus of aptamers comprising an immunostimulatory rCGyy motif as instantly claimed. Accordingly the filing date of the instant claims is considered to be 4/21/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tasset et al (US 5972599).

Tasset taught a variety of aptamers directed against TNF alpha. Table 12 lists 47 such aptamers, and every one appears to comprise the sequence 5'-ACGUU-3- in its 5' constant region. Moreover, several of these aptamers contain rCGyy motifs in their variable regions (see e.g. SEQ ID NOS: 210, 215, 218 and 240 which comprise the sequences 5-GCGCU-3', 5'-ACGUU-3', GCGUC-3' and 5'-ACGUC-3', respectively).

Absent evidence to the contrary, these rCGyy sequences could be bound by a cellular TLR9 receptor and stimulate an immune response in vivo. See the instant specification at paragraph 187 which indicates that binding of CpG sequences by TLR9 receptors "triggers defense mechanisms leading to innate and ultimately acquired immune responses. For example, activation of TLR 9 in mice induces activation of antigen presenting cells, up regulation of MHC class I and II molecules and expression of important costimulatory molecules and cytokines including IL-12 and IL-23. This activation both directly and indirectly enhances B and T cell responses, including robust up regulation of the TH1 cytokine IFN-gamma."

Tasset taught the structure required by the claim. The functions required by the claim are considered to be inherent in that structure, absent evidence to the contrary.

Thus Tasset anticipates the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tasset (US 5972599) in view of McKearn et al (US 6833373).

Tasset taught aptamers directed against TNF alpha that comprise rCGyy motifs, and suggested the use of such aptamers to treat cachexia in cancer patients. Cachexia

Art Unit: 1635

is a wasting syndrome that is often seen in patients with cancer undergoing chemotherapy. Tasset also suggested that the aptamers be formulated in pharmaceutically acceptable carriers (column 18, lines 27-48).

It was well known in the prior art that chemotherapeutics used to treat cancer, such as 5-FU, methotrexate, and doxorubicin, have serious side effects including cachexia. These side effects adversely affect the quality of life of patients, and can lead to reduced patient compliance. Moreover, adverse side effects associated with chemotherapy can limit the dosage considered safe to administer, thereby limiting the effectiveness of treatment. See e.g. McKearn at column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 34. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have appreciated that mitigation of side effects such as cachexia would be desirable for improving the treatment of cancer patients with chemotherapeutics.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to investigate the usefulness of the aptamers of Tasset in the mitigation cachexia in cancer. One would have been motivated to do so because Tasset suggested that the aptamers would be useful for this purpose. Moreover, it would have been obvious to do so in order to determine if the tolerance of patients to chemotherapeutic drugs such as 5-FU, methotrexate, and doxorubicin, could be increased in view of the teachings of McKearn. Finally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to formulate the aptamer and a chemotherapeutic such as 5-FU, methotrexate, and doxorubicin in a single composition in order to simplify

Art Unit: 1635

administration by limiting the number of compositions administered. Thus the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner(s) should be directed to Richard Schnizer, whose telephone number is 571-272-0762. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between the hours of 6:00 AM and 3:30. The examiner is off on alternate Fridays, but is sometimes in the office anyway.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's acting supervisor, Heather Calamita, can be reached at (571) 272-2876. The official central fax number is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.